

Family Name	Pagdin
Given Name	Jennie
Person ID	1286131
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Pagdin
Given Name	Jennie
Person ID	1286131
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>As a local resident, I am emailing to outline my objection to site allocation 35 "North of Mosley Common" within the Places for Everyone proposals. It proposes 1100 houses; this is despite 100s of objections to the original proposal (GMSF).</p> <p>I strongly believe that the development is unsuitable for our area for various reasons. Primarily that the site swallows almost all of our local green spaces. Every day this is used and enjoyed by local residents and families.</p> <p>With any development increased traffic is always an issue. The area has already seen 100s of new houses built in recent years; yet there have been NO improvements to the road infrastructure or safety. An extra 1100 houses would bring an estimated 1400 cars to the local area! This would be unsafe if again no improvements are made and standstill traffic would be even more of an issue than it already is. This too posing a great risk to local air quality and the environment. Ironically, totally contradicting GM's Clean Air Plan.</p> <p>Disappointingly, there is little detail outlined in the proposal regarding new schools, health provisions, and recreation provision. These facilities are so important to local areas and residents. In truth, it's hard to see at the moment how these plans will benefit the local area at all!</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect	<p>Protect our green belt taking into consideration the large number of developments already given the go ahead / completed over recent years. Improve road and transport infrastructure so it can support the local need as it is now without adding another huge strain!</p>

**of any legal compliance
or soundness matters
you have identified
above.**